

Brussels, 17/09/2025

EEPLIANT4 Concerted Action (Project number: 101143050) Work Package 4 electronic displays

Summary of questions received and answers to the Call for Tender for Test Bodies

Date of publication of the Official Call: 02/09/2025

Rounds of answers - **1st Version published: 11/09/2024, 2nd Version published: 17/09/2025**

Ref	Date	Question	Answer
1	08/09/2025	Declaration of Honor: the references to points 5(a) to 5(h) are incorrect - it should now read 4(a) to 4(h). Can you provide a corrected document here?	The Declaration on Honour document has been corrected and re-uploaded.
2	09/09/2025	For Monitors or similar devices, hundreds of materials may be used. The costs for chemical testing directly depend on the number of probes examined. It would be helpful to have your basic guidance on how many probes per product should be quoted. A good average to be applied could e.g. be 10 samples/probes per parameter per product.	The Regulation does not prescribe a fixed number of probes or samples (intended here as portions/elements of the display unit under test). Laboratories are free to propose their own approach to sampling and analysis, provided it delivers reliable, accurate, and reproducible results. The proposed approach, including any underlying assumptions, should be clearly described in the financial offer section to allow comparability of tenders. <i>NOTA BENE: Laboratories who are not able to conduct material efficiency testing are nonetheless encouraged to submit their proposal including only a financial offer for energy-related testing.</i>
3	09/09/2025	RoHS and POP cover the main analytical requirements of the EU Ecodesign Regulation (EU) 2019/2021 for halogenated flame retardants (HFRs). Can you clarify if this is the test scope requested?	Testing should address the requirement in Commission Regulation (EU) 2019/2021, Annex II, point 4(a), which prohibits the use of halogenated flame retardants in the enclosure and stand of electronic displays. Laboratories are invited to propose appropriate methods consistent with the Regulation's requirement for reliable, accurate and reproducible results, taking into account the generally recognised state of the art. The proposed approach, including any underlying assumptions, should be clearly described in the financial offer section to allow comparability of tenders. <i>NOTA BENE: Laboratories who are not able to conduct material efficiency testing are nonetheless encouraged to submit their proposal including only a financial offer for energy-related testing.</i>

Ref	Date	Question	Answer
4	09/09/2025	Can “Testing of Halogenated flame-retardant content as detailed in EU Ecodesign Regulation (EU) 20219/21” be quoted, if the test partner is not accredited for all parts of the testing but still has relevant experience?	<p>The tender requires ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation covering the Ecodesign and Energy Labelling regulations in scope. Where a laboratory relies on a partner or subcontractor not accredited for every individual analytical test but with demonstrable relevant expertise and quality management, this is acceptable provided that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The prime contractor remains fully responsible under ISO/IEC 17025 for the quality and integrity of results, • Validation and traceability of the methods are ensured, and • This arrangement is clearly explained in the tender offer.
5	16/09/2025	Would it be possible to include a clause in the final contract concerning limited liability of the contractor? (Except for death or bodily injury or any other act or omission for which liability cannot be limited by law)	<p>Yes, our standard contract includes a clause establishing a limit to the liability of the contractor to the contract value.</p> <p>The contract negotiation phase will provide the opportunity to rediscuss this topic.</p>

DISCLAIMER

Co-funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Climate, Infrastructure and Environment Executive Agency (CINEA). Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.